


Building and Construction Authority



CODE OF PRACTICE ON
Buildability

2013 Edition



*The Code of Practice on Buildability is electronically
published by the Building and Construction Authority.*

©Building and Construction Authority, August 2013

*All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be
reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means,
without permission in writing from the publisher.*

Contents

CODE OF PRACTICE

Introduction	1
1 Scope	2
2 Definitions	2
3 Statutory requirements	3
4 Categories of Buildings	4
5 Buildable Design Score requirements	5
6 Submission procedures for Buildable Design Score requirements	12
7 Constructability Score requirements	14
8 Submission procedures for Constructability Score requirements	17
9 Other Requirements	19
10 First Schedule	20

ANNEX

A Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS)	21
B Constructability Appraisal System (CAS)	48

Introduction

To raise productivity in the construction industry and reduce its reliance on foreign workers, BCA had, since 2001, implemented buildability legislation for all building projects and progressively raised the mandatory requirements for adoption of buildable designs over the years. A project with higher Buildable Design Score will need less manpower to build and thus achieve higher productivity. This legislation has had the effect of changing some of the design practices in the industry, for example, the switch to more flat plate designs, dry walls, precast construction and prefabrication.

While the Buildable Design Score requirements ensure that upstream designs by architects and engineers consider productivity concepts early to bring about greater productivity improvements, it is equally essential that contractors implement improvements in the downstream construction methods. Thus, BCA introduced the new constructability requirements in 2011, for new projects, to steer builders towards adopting more labour-efficient technologies and methods to improve productivity at the construction stage.

With a more aggressive reduction in foreign worker supply (cumulative 45% cut in man-year entitlements from July 2013), it is necessary to increase much more the adoption of buildable designs upstream and efficient construction technologies downstream so that projects can be delivered using less manpower.

This Code sets out the requirements of minimum Buildable Design Score, minimum Constructability Score and their submission procedures. It also sets out the method of determining the Buildable Design Score and the Constructability Score. Some amendments and revisions may be expected from time to time.

If you need clarification on any aspect of this Code of Practice, please contact the Building and Construction Authority, Singapore.

1 SCOPE

This Code sets out the requirements of minimum Buildable Design Score and minimum Constructability Score for buildings and their submission procedures. It also sets out the method for determining the Buildable Design Score and the Constructability Score of building works.

2 DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this Code, the following definitions shall apply:

Buildability	The extent to which the design of a building facilitates ease of construction as well as the extent to which the adoption of construction techniques and processes affects the productivity level of building works.
Buildable Design Score	The score for buildable design computed in accordance with the Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) as set out in the Code of Practice.
Buildability Detailed Design and Implementation Plan	Documents including plans which describe and define the type, extent of use and details of the building systems, building components and buildable features to be implemented for the building works for the purpose of computing the Buildable Design Score.
Constructability Score	The score for constructability computed in accordance with the Constructability Appraisal System (CAS) as set out in the Code of Practice.
Constructability Implementation Plan	Documents including plans which describe and define the type, extent of use and details of the construction techniques, processes, plant, equipment and innovative methods and systems to be implemented for the building works for the purpose of computing the Constructability Score.
Government Land Sales Programme	A programme under which State land for private sector development is sold via public tender by the Government or a statutory board acting as an agent for the State.
Gross Floor Area	The gross floor area is calculated using the definition by the Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA).
Labour Saving Index (LSI)	A value given to a particular building system which reflects the relative difference in site labour productivity associated with the various structural and wall systems. In certain instances, the LSI could be further lowered to

discourage the use of labour intensive elements or components. A LSI is also given for the use of prefabricated reinforcement/cages in cast in-situ components.

Minimum Buildable Design Score	The lowest Buildable Design Score allowed under a particular category of development stipulated in this Code.
Minimum Constructability Score	The lowest Constructability Score allowed for the relevant gross floor area of the development stipulated in this Code.
Qualified Person (QP)	The Qualified Person shall be as defined in the Building Control Act, Chapter 29, Part I, Section 2.
Type of Use	This refers to the use of the building/development, e.g. residential use or commercial use.
Type of Building Work	This refers to new building work, repairs, alterations or additions to an existing building (whether carried out within or outside the existing building).

3 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Act and Regulations

The following Act and Regulations have relevance:

- a. The Building Control Act.
- b. The Building Control Regulations.
- c. The Building Control (Buildability) Regulations.

3.2 Responsibility

3.2.1 It is the responsibility of the developers, architects, engineers, builders and others engaged in the design and construction of buildings to be conversant with the statutory requirements pertaining to the Buildability Framework. Designers and builders should familiarise themselves with the Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) and Constructability Appraisal System (CAS) respectively to enable them to consider a wider range of construction systems, methods, technologies, materials and products to meet the requirement for minimum Buildable Design Score and Constructability Score.

3.2.2 The developer shall engage the appropriate Qualified Persons (QPs) to carry out buildable design. The QP for architectural works and the QP for structural works shall be responsible for ensuring that the Buildable Design Score requirement is met. The QPs shall jointly declare the Buildable Design Score achieved. The QPs shall also jointly declare the As-built Buildable Design Score achieved.

3.2.3 The builder shall be responsible for ensuring that the Constructability Score requirement is met. The builder shall declare the Constructability Score achieved. The builder shall also declare on the Certificate of Compliance of Constructability Score.

4 CATEGORIES OF BUILDINGS

The various types of building development are categorised in Table A. Buildings listed under the First Schedule are exempted from both the buildable design and constructability requirements.

Table A Categories of Building

CATEGORIES	TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT
Residential (landed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terrace house • Semi-detached house • Bungalow • Clustered housing
Residential (non-landed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Condominium • Flat • Service apartment • Apartment • Dormitory • Hostel
Commercial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bank • Departmental store • Shopping centre • Office building • Supermarket • Restaurant • Hotel • Conventional hall and facilities • Exhibition hall

Table A Categories of Building (*continued*)

CATEGORIES	TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT
Industrial	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Factory• Warehouse• Godown• Brewery• Cold storage building• Packaging and processing plant• Printing plant• Sub-station
School	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Primary school• Secondary school
Institutional and others	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Library• Hospital• Home for the aged• Childcare centre/Nursery• Research building• Educational facilities• Terminal building• Campus• Medical centre• Camp• Embassy• Museum• Crematorium and Columbarium• Club house• Cinema/Theatre• Sports/Recreational facilities• Public transport station

The above list shall not be exhaustive. The QP/builder is advised to seek clarification with BCA if his type of development is not stated in the above list.

5 BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE REQUIREMENTS

5.1 Buildable Design Score

5.1.1 The Buildable Design Score of the building design shall be determined using this Code of Practice and the Buildable Design Appraisal System (BDAS) which is given in Annex A of this Code. BDAS may, from time to time, be amended, modified or replaced with a new edition.

5.1.2 Summary of the three areas of scoring

The Buildable Design Score of a project is made up of 3 parts:

Part 1 - Structural System (maximum 45 points). Points are awarded for various types of structural system used.

Part 2 - Wall System (maximum 45 points). Points are awarded for various types of wall system used.

Part 3 - Other Buildable Design Features (maximum 10 points). Points are awarded for standardisation, modular dimensions and use of precast/prefabricated components.

In addition to the above, bonus points are obtainable in Part 1, Part 2 and Part 3 for the use of productive technologies such as mechanical connections for precast joints, self-compacting concrete, off-form external finished walls, dry construction and mechanical, electrical & plumbing (MEP) systems, as well as the adoption of single integrated components and industry-wide standardisation of building components / design parameters.

The maximum Buildable Design Score achievable for a project is capped at 100 points.

5.2 Types of Development

5.2.1 The minimum Buildable Design Score requirement shall apply to new building works with Gross Floor Area (GFA) equals to or greater than 2,000 m².

5.2.2 The minimum Buildable Design Score requirement shall also apply to building works consisting of repairs, alterations and/or additions (A&A work) to an existing building if the building works involve the construction of new floor and/or reconstruction of existing floor for which their total gross floor area is 2,000 m² or more.

5.2.3 For building works with GFA equals to or greater than 2,000 m² but less than 5,000 m² and for which applications for planning permission were made before 1st January 2004, the minimum Buildable Design Score requirement shall not be applicable.

5.2.4 For buildings not listed in the First Schedule, the QP may apply for exemption if the building has a uniqueness arising from special functional requirements. The exemption will be on a case-by-case basis. The application for exemption is to be submitted to the Commissioner of Building Control.

5.3 Minimum Buildable Design Score

- 5.3.1** Subject to 5.3.2 below, for new building works and A&A works outside existing building (considered as new work) which are submitted for planning permission on or after 1st September 2013, the minimum Buildable Design Scores for each category of development, namely residential projects, commercial projects, industrial projects, school projects and institutional and other projects are tabulated in Table B.
- 5.3.2** For building works relating to any building on land sold under the Government Land Sales (GLS) Programme (including Industrial GLS) on or after 15th October 2013, the minimum Buildable Design Scores for each category of development are tabulated in Table C.
- 5.3.3** Different minimum Buildable Design Score requirements are given for $2,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 5,000 \text{ m}^2$, $5,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 25,000 \text{ m}^2$ and $\text{GFA} \geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$.

Table B Minimum Buildable Design Score for New Work

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK/ DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE		
	$2,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 5,000 \text{ m}^2$	$5,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 25,000 \text{ m}^2$	$\text{GFA} \geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$
Residential (landed)	63	68	71
Residential (non-landed)	70	75	78
Commercial	72	77	80
Industrial	72	77	80
School	67	72	75
Institutional and others	63	69	72

Table C Minimum Buildable Design Score for Building Works on Land Sold under the Government Land Sales Programme

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK/ DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE		
	2,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 5,000 m ²	5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²	GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (landed)	68	73	76
Residential (non-landed)	75	80	83
Commercial	77	82	85
Industrial	77	82	85
School	72	77	80
Institutional and others	68	74	77

5.3.4 For A&A work within existing buildings, the minimum Buildable Design Scores for residential, commercial, industrial, school and institutional and other projects are shown in Table D.

Table D Minimum Buildable Design Score for A&A Work

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK / DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
Residential (landed)	57
Residential (non-landed)	60
Commercial	62
Industrial	62
School	60
Institutional and others	60

5.3.5 From 1st September 2014, subject to 5.3.6 below, the minimum Buildable Design Scores will be raised for new building works and A&A works outside existing building (considered as new work). The minimum scores are as shown in Table E.

5.3.6 For building works relating to buildings on land sold under the GLS Programme, the minimum Buildable Design Scores will also be raised. The minimum scores are tabulated in Table F and will affect building works on GLS land sold from 15th October 2014.

Table E Minimum Buildable Design Score for New Work with effect from 1st September 2014

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK/ DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE		
	2,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 5,000 m ²	5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²	GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (landed)	65	70	73
Residential (non-landed)	72	77	80
Commercial	74	79	82
Industrial	74	79	82
School	69	74	77
Institutional and others	65	71	74

Table F Minimum Buildable Design Score for Building Works on Land Sold under the Government Land Sales Programme with effect from 15th October 2014

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK/ DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE		
	2,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 5,000 m ²	5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²	GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (landed)	70	75	78
Residential (non-landed)	77	82	85
Commercial	79	84	87
Industrial	79	84	87
School	74	79	82
Institutional and others	70	76	79

5.3.7 For clarity, reference shall be made to the table below for the relevant issue of Code of Practice to be used.

Table G Code of Practice to be Used

Date of planning application	Code of Practice to be used
Before 1 st January 2001	Not applicable
1 st January 2001 - 31 st July 2002	Code of Practice on Buildable Design <i>December 2000 issue</i>
1 st August 2002 - 31 st December 2003	Code of Practice on Buildable Design <i>June 2002 issue</i>
1 st January 2004 - 31 st August 2005	Code of Practice on Buildable Design <i>January 2004 issue</i>
1 st September 2005 – 14 th July 2011	Code of Practice on Buildable Design <i>September 2005 issue</i>
15 th July 2011 – 31 st August 2013	Code of Practice on Buildability <i>April 2011 issue</i>
On or after 1 st September 2013	Code of Practice on Buildability <i>2013 issue</i>

5.3.8 Minimum Buildable Design Score for Mixed Development

The minimum Buildable Design Score for a mixed development will be pro-rated according to the GFA of each type of development. For example, the minimum Buildable Design Score for a mixed development comprising 70% residential (non-landed) and 30% commercial is computed as follows:

Table H Computation of Minimum Buildable Design Score for a Mixed Development with GFA between 5,000 m² and 25,000 m²

CATEGORY OF BUILDING	% OF BUILDING GFA	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
		5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²
Residential (non-landed)	70% of GFA	70% of 75* = 52.50
Commercial	30% of GFA	30% of 77* = 23.10
The required minimum Buildable Design Score	100% of GFA	76 (rounded to nearest integer)

*based on 1st September 2013 minimum buildable design scores for new work

Table I Computation of Minimum Buildable Design Score for a Mixed Development with GFA 25,000 m² and above

CATEGORY OF BUILDING	% OF BUILDING GFA	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
		GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (non-landed)	70% of GFA	70% of 78* = 54.60
Commercial	30% of GFA	30% of 80* = 24.00
The required minimum Buildable Design Score	100% of GFA	79 (rounded to nearest integer)

**based on 1st September 2013 minimum buildable design scores for new work*

5.3.9 Minimum Buildable Design Score for Project with A&A Work

The minimum Buildable Design Score for a project with A&A work to be carried out both within and outside the existing building will be pro-rated according to the GFA of new work outside the existing building and work within the existing building. For example, the minimum Buildable Design Score for an A&A commercial project comprising 20% work within the existing building and 80% new work outside the existing building is computed as follows:

Table L Computation of Minimum Buildable Design Score for an A&A Commercial Project with GFA between 5,000m² and 25,000m²

TYPE OF WORK	% OF GFA	MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
		5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²
A&A work within existing building	20% of GFA	20% of 62 = 12.40
Work outside existing building	80% of GFA	80% of 77* = 61.60
The required minimum Buildable Design Score	100% of GFA	74 (rounded to nearest integer)

**based on 1st September 2013 minimum buildable design scores for new work*

6 SUBMISSION PROCEDURES FOR BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE REQUIREMENTS

Buildable Design Score will be one of the requirements for Building Plan (BP) approval. The BP will not be approved if the submitted Buildable Design Score is lower than the stipulated minimum. The Buildable Design Score is to be submitted by QPs at the following stages:

- BP stage
- ST (Structural plan) superstructural stage
- Temporary Occupation Permit (TOP)/Certificate of Statutory Completion (CSC) stage

6.1 Submission at BP Stage

The QP shall indicate in Form BPD_BP03 (Application for Approval of Building Plans) whether Buildable Design Score calculations are applicable to the proposed building works. If applicable, the Buildable Design Score is to be submitted together with the BP submission using Form BPD_BS01. The Buildable Design Score is to be jointly declared by the QP for Architectural Works and the QP for Structural Works and the detailed computation of the Buildable Design Score shall be attached. Forms BPD_BP03 and BPD_BS01 can be downloaded from BCA's website at <http://www.bca.gov.sg/>.

In addition to the above, the QPs must submit a Buildability Detailed Design and Implementation Plan with the Buildable Design Score calculations. This plan serves to substantiate the computation of the Buildable Design Score for the building works and shall include the following :

- the floor plan of every storey including the basement and roof which clearly marks out the structural floor area and wall length for every structural system and wall system of that storey and any buildable feature;
- the elevation plans and sectional plans which clearly mark out the types of structural system, wall system and buildable features to be constructed for the building works; and
- where applicable, the dimensions of building components and the extent of standardisation, the type and extent of repetition of precast components, the connection and details of precast components, the details of prefabricated reinforcement and the locations of all buildable features to be constructed for the building works etc.

6.2 Submission at ST Superstructural Stage

The current submission procedures allow the ST to be submitted separately from the BP. Where ST submission is made before BP submission, the QP shall indicate in Form BEV/A1 (Application for Approval of Structural Plans) whether Buildable Design Score calculations are applicable to the proposed building works. If applicable, the Structural Buildable Design Score is to be submitted by the QP for Structural Works at the ST superstructural stage using Form BEV/ A1_BS02. The Structural Buildable Design Score is to be jointly declared by the QP for Architectural Works and the QP for Structural Works. Forms BEV/A1 and BEV/A1_BS02 can be downloaded from BCA's website at <http://www.bca.gov.sg/>.

In addition to the above, the QP must submit a Buildability Detailed Design and Implementation Plan relating to the structural elements of the building works with the Structural Buildable Design Score calculations. This plan serves to substantiate the computation of the Structural Buildable Design Score for the building works and shall include the following :

- the floor plan of every storey including the basement and roof which clearly marks out the structural floor area for every structural system of that storey and any buildable feature;
- the elevation plans and sectional plans which clearly mark out the types of structural system and buildable features to be constructed for the building works; and
- where applicable, the dimensions of building components and the extent of standardisation, the type and extent of repetition of precast components, the connection and details of precast components, the details of prefabricated reinforcement and the locations of all buildable features to be constructed for the building works etc.

6.3 Submission at TOP/CSC stage

6.3.1 Upon project completion, the QP for Architectural Works and the QP for Structural Works shall compute and declare the As-built Buildable Design Score and submit one set of the computation to BCA using Form BPD_BS03. The submission must be accompanied by a Buildability Detailed Design and Implementation Plan of the completed building works to support the computation of the As-built Buildable Design Score. This submission is to be made before a temporary occupation permit or in a case where no such permit is earlier applied for, a certificate of statutory completion can be granted. Form BPD_BS03 can be downloaded from BCA's website at <http://www.bca.gov.sg/>.

6.3.2 BCA may conduct site checks during the construction stage.

7 CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE REQUIREMENTS

7.1 Constructability Score

7.1.1 The Constructability Score of the building works shall be determined using this Code of Practice and the Constructability Appraisal System (CAS) which is given in Annex B of this Code. CAS may, from time to time, be amended, modified or replaced with a new edition.

7.1.2 Summary of the three areas of scoring
The Constructability Score of a project is made up of 3 parts:

Part A - Structural System (maximum 60 points). Points are awarded for various methods and technologies adopted during the construction of structural works.

Part B - Architectural, Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing (AMEP) System (maximum 50 points). Points are awarded for various methods and technologies adopted during the construction of AMEP works.

Part C - Good Industry Practices (maximum 10 points). Points are awarded for good industry practices adopted on site to improve productivity.

In addition to the above, points are obtainable in Part A and Part B if a project adopts innovative methods, systems, processes, plant and equipment that help to achieve productivity improvement. Innovation points are awarded subjected to BCA's assessment on a case-by-case basis of the impact on labour efficiency of the particular method, system, process, plant and equipment used.

The total points allocated under the CAS is 120 points.

7.2 Types of Development

7.2.1 The minimum Constructability Score requirement shall apply to new building works with Gross Floor Area (GFA) equals to or greater than 5,000 m².

7.2.2 The minimum Constructability Score requirement shall also apply to building works consisting of repairs, alterations and/or additions (A&A work) to an existing building if the building works involve the construction of new floor and/or reconstruction of existing floor for which their total gross floor area is 5,000 m² or more.

7.3 Minimum Constructability Score

- 7.3.1** Subject to 7.3.2 below, the minimum Constructability Score for each category of development, namely residential projects, commercial projects, industrial projects, school projects and institutional and other projects which are submitted for planning permission on or after 1st September 2013 are tabulated in Table M.
- 7.3.2** For building works relating to any building on land sold under the Government Land Sales (GLS) Programme (including Industrial GLS) on or after 15th October 2013, the minimum Constructability Scores for each category of development are tabulated in Table N.
- 7.3.3** Different minimum Constructability Score requirements are given for $5,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 25,000 \text{ m}^2$ and $\text{GFA} \geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$. In addition to meeting the minimum Constructability Score requirements, projects must also achieve a minimum score under the Structural System component of the CAS as shown in Table M and Table N.

Table M Minimum Constructability Score

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK / DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE	
	$5,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 25,000 \text{ m}^2$	$\text{GFA} \geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$
Residential (landed)	43 (min 28 points from Structural System)	53 (min 38 points from Structural System)
Residential (non-landed)		
Commercial		
Industrial		
School		
Institutional and others		

Table N Minimum Constructability Score for Building Works on Land Sold under the Government Land Sales Programme

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK / DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE	
	$5,000 \text{ m}^2 \leq \text{GFA} < 25,000 \text{ m}^2$	$\text{GFA} \geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$
Residential (landed)	45 (min 30 points from Structural System)	55 (min 40 points from Structural System)
Residential (non-landed)		
Commercial		
Industrial		
School		
Institutional and others		

7.3.4 From 1st September 2014, subject to 7.3.5 below, the minimum Constructability Scores will be raised for all building works and the minimum scores are as shown in Table O.

7.3.5 For building works relating to buildings on land sold under the GLS Programme, the minimum Constructability Scores will also be raised. The minimum scores are tabulated in Table P and will affect building works on GLS land sold from 15th October 2014.

Table O Minimum Constructability Score with effect from 1st September 2014

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK / DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE	
	5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²	GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (landed)	45 (min 30 points from Structural System)	55 (min 40 points from Structural System)
Residential (non-landed)		
Commercial		
Industrial		
School		
Institutional and others		

Table P Minimum Constructability Score for Building Works on Land Sold under the Government Land Sales Programme with effect from 15th October 2014

CATEGORY OF BUILDING WORK / DEVELOPMENT	MINIMUM CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE	
	5,000 m ² ≤ GFA < 25,000 m ²	GFA ≥ 25,000 m ²
Residential (landed)	47 (min 32 points from Structural System)	57 (min 42 points from Structural System)
Residential (non-landed)		
Commercial		
Industrial		
School		
Institutional and others		

7.3.6 For clarity, reference shall be made to the table below for the relevant issue of Code of Practice to be used.

Table Q Code of Practice to be Used

Date of planning application	Code of Practice to be used
Before 15 th July 2011	Not applicable
15 th July 2011 – 31 st August 2013	Code of Practice on Buildability <i>April 2011 issue</i>
On or after 1 st September 2013	Code of Practice on Buildability <i>2013 issue</i>

8 SUBMISSION PROCEDURES FOR CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE REQUIREMENTS

8.1 Submission of Constructability Score

The builder is required to submit the Constructability Score which shall not be lower than the stipulated minimum at either one of the following stages:

- at the time of application for permit to carry out structural works (Permit), or
- within 3 months (for non-Design and Build projects) or 6 months (for Design and Build projects) after the permit has been issued in the event that the builder requires more time to plan for the type of construction methods and technologies to be adopted in the project.

8.1.1 Submission at Permit Stage

The builder shall indicate in Form BCA-BE-PERMIT (BEV/B1) (Joint Application for Permit to Carry out Structural Works) whether Constructability Score calculations are applicable to the proposed building works. If applicable, the Constructability Score is to be submitted together with the permit application using Form BEV_CS01. The Constructability Score for the proposed building works and the detailed computation of the Constructability Score is to be declared by the builder. Forms BCA-BE-PERMIT (BEV/B1) and BE_CS01 can be downloaded from BCA's website at <http://www.bca.gov.sg/>.

In addition to the above, the builder must submit a Constructability Implementation Plan of the building works with the Constructability Score calculations. This plan serves to substantiate the computation of the Constructability Score for the building works and shall include the following :

- the floor plan of every storey including the basement and roof, as well as the elevation plans and sectional plans which clearly mark out the types of construction techniques and processes, plant, equipment, innovative methods and systems and materials used for that storey or building; and
- details on the extent of adoption of each construction technique, process, plant, equipment, innovative method and system or material etc.

8.1.2 Submission within 3 months/6 months after Permit Issuance

The builder shall indicate in Form BCA-BE-PERMIT (BEV/B1) that the Constructability Score calculations, where applicable for the proposed building works, would be submitted within the timeframe allowed depending on the type of contract for the building works.

The Constructability Score and the detailed computation of the Constructability Score as declared by the builder shall be submitted using Form BEV_CS01, and is to be accompanied by the Constructability Implementation Plan of the building works as described in 8.1.1 above.

8.2 Departure and Deviation from Adopted Construction Methods and Technologies

If there are any deviations to the submitted Constructability Score due to changes to the type of construction methods and technologies adopted, the builder shall re-compute the Constructability Score resulting from such changes and submit the revised Constructability Score and the revised Constructability Implementation Plan at least 3 working days before the deviations are carried out at site. The re-submission of the Constructability Score shall be made using Form BEV_CS01 and the revised Constructability Score shall not be lower than the stipulated minimum.

8.3 Submission of Certificate of Compliance of Constructability Score

Upon completion of the building works, the builder shall submit a Certificate of Compliance of Constructability Score using Form BPD_CCS01 declaring that the construction of the building works has been carried out such that the Constructability Score of the building works is not less than the stipulated minimum constructability score. This submission is to be made before a temporary occupation permit or in a case where no such permit is earlier applied for, a certificate of statutory completion can be granted.

BCA may conduct site checks during the construction stage.

9 OTHER REQUIREMENTS

9.1 Site Records

The builder is required to submit to BCA the following documents and records as evidences to demonstrate compliance with the minimum Constructability Score requirement. The submission shall be made at every 3-monthly interval after the grant of the permit to carry out structural works till completion of the building works:

- a progress report on the types of construction methods, technologies and processes adopted for the building works in accordance with the Constructability Score and Constructability Implementation Plan submitted, including photographs evidencing the adoption of such construction methods, technologies and processes;
- records of the construction processes put in place for the building works; and
- any other documents, reports and records showing the details of the construction methods and technologies adopted.

The builder is also required to keep and maintain the above documents and records on site.

9.2 Construction Productivity Data

The builder is required to submit Construction Productivity Data of the building works to BCA on a monthly basis which would be used to assess the productivity level of the building works. The Construction Productivity Data shall include the manpower usage, construction output and documentation relating to the construction of the building works.

First Schedule

BUILDING WORKS WHICH ARE NOT SUBJECTED TO THE MINIMUM BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE AND CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE REQUIREMENTS

The types of development which are not subjected to the minimum Buildability requirement are:

- (a) any culvert, bridge, underpass, tunnel, earth retaining or stabilising structure, slipway, dock, wharf or jetty;
- (b) any theme park;
- (c) any place of worship;
- (d) any power station; or
- (e) any waste processing or treatment plant.

Annex A

BUILDABLE DESIGN APPRAISAL SYSTEM

1 INTRODUCTION

The Buildable Design Appraisal System or BDAS was developed by the Building and Construction Authority as a means to measure the potential impact of a building design on the usage of site labour. The appraisal system results in a 'Buildable Design Score' of the building design. A design with a higher Buildable Design Score will result in more efficient labour usage in construction and therefore higher site labour productivity.

1.1 Objective

The objective of BDAS is to result in the wider use of buildable design. It is not the intention to adopt buildability at the expense of good architectural design. The need for more varieties and architectural features to satisfy clients' needs is recognised. There are, in fact, many examples of attractive designs that have high buildable design scores.

Neither is the BDAS intended to solely promote prefabrication. Although, in general, prefabrication should give higher buildable design scores, designs using simple cast-in-place construction can also yield reasonably high buildable design scores.

Most importantly, buildable designs will lead to improvements in quality. This is due to the relative ease of construction and the need for fewer skilled tradesmen.

1.2 Principles of Buildable Design

The designer should first consider external factors such as soil condition, access and storage at the site, availability of resources, skills and technology, sequence of operations etc, to determine the most appropriate building system to be used. He can then apply the **3S** principles of *Standardisation*, *Simplicity* and *Single integrated elements* to achieve a buildable design.

Standardisation refers to the repetition of grids, sizes of components and connection details. A repeated grid layout, for example, will facilitate faster construction whether formwork or precast components are used. Similarly, columns or external claddings of repeated sizes will reduce the number of mould changes whether on-site or in the factory.

Simplicity means uncomplicated building construction systems and installation details. A flat plate system, for example, eases formwork construction as well as reinforcement work considerably. Use of precast components reduces many trade operations on site and should improve site productivity provided the standardisation principles are observed.

Single integrated elements are those that combine related components together into a single element that may be prefabricated in the factory and installed on site. Prefabricated toilets are good examples of this.

1.3 Scope

BDAS therefore looks at the design and computes the extent to which the principles of standardisation, simplicity and single integrated elements are found. It covers the structural system and the major architectural components such as external and internal walls, doors and windows.

Points are awarded based on the types of structural and architectural system used. More points are awarded to the more buildable systems. The points are totalled to give the “Buildable Design Score” of the design.

1.4 Buildable Design Score and Contractor’s Productivity

The particular Buildable Design Score for a design does not imply that every contractor will achieve the same level of site productivity when building that design. There are other factors that affect the contractor’s output such as his management, quality of his sub-contractors and others. However, a high Buildable Design Score will imply that the same contractor should build that project with less site labour than one with a low Buildable Design Score.

1.5 Rationale on Allocation of Points

The computation of Buildable Design Score for a project involves the summation of Buildable Design Score attained for structural systems, wall systems and other buildable features. The maximum Buildable Design Score achievable for a project is 100 points.

The allocation of points to structural systems, wall systems and other buildable features is based on manpower consumption.

1.6 Rationale on Derivation of Labour Saving Indices

One of the more important factors in the appraisal system is the labour saving index (LSI). A labour saving index is given to each building system and the use of prefabricated reinforcement/cages in cast in-situ components. The building systems and the indices will be updated regularly to reflect the changes in technology.

Projects were identified for each type of building system to undergo studies. Labour productivity, measured in square metres per manday, relating to each building system was analysed. Based on the relative difference in labour productivity, the labour saving index for each building system was derived. In certain instances, the labour saving indices could be further lowered to discourage the use of labour intensive elements or components.

A high index indicates that the design is more buildable and fewer site workers are needed.

1.7 Development of BDAS

The Buildable Design Appraisal System was developed with the assistance of a committee comprising leading local and foreign contractors who provided productivity data inputs from their projects. Inputs from various government agencies, consultants and product manufacturers were also incorporated. The concern for buildability, or the need to integrate design with construction, has also been taken up in developed countries. In Japan, this integration is maximised as most projects proceed on a design-and-build basis. Major Japanese contractors such as Takenaka Corporation, Taisei Corporation and Kajima Corporation have developed their own in-house buildability appraisal systems. BCA's Buildable Design Appraisal System is modelled after Takenaka's system.

1.8 Updates

This Code of Practice on Buildability, 2013 Edition, has included a number of updates.

1.8.1 Allocation of Buildable Design Points

The key changes are listed below:

- (a) The maximum buildable design point for structural systems has been revised from 50 to 45 points.
- (b) The maximum buildable design point for wall systems has been revised from 40 to 45 points.

1.8.2 Structural System

The key changes are listed below:

- (a) Structural systems involving flat plate and flat slab with no perimeter beams have been removed.
- (b) The LSIs for structural systems involving flat plate and flat slab with perimeter beams of which the beam depth is less than 600mm have been revised.
- (c) The LSIs for "Steel beam and steel column (without concrete encasement)" and "Steel beam and steel column (with concrete encasement)" have been increased.
- (d) The LSIs for "Integrated metal roof on steel truss" and "Metal roof on steel truss or timber truss" have been increased.
- (e) The LSI for the use of prefabricated reinforcement in each of the cast in-situ components has been increased.
- (f) The deduction of buildable design points for the use of transfer beams has been incorporated under Table 3.

- (g) Bonus points have been given for the use of recommended precast joints from specified publications, mechanical connections for precast joints, high strength concrete, self-compacting concrete and diaphragm wall.

1.8.3 Wall System

The key changes are listed below:

- (a) “Precast concrete panel/wall” has been reworded to “Precast concrete wall” system.
- (b) “Lightweight concrete panel” has been grouped separately from “Precast concrete panel/wall” and given different LSIs.
- (c) “PC Formwork” wall system has been removed.
- (d) The LSIs for “Cast in-situ RC Wall” have been increased.
- (e) Bonus points have been given to the use of off-form precast concrete external walls and columns.
- (f) Direct points for simple building designs without high voids and complex design forms have been introduced.

1.8.4 Other Buildable Design Features

The key changes are listed below:

- (a) Points have been awarded to the various buildable design features which have met the percentage of coverage of $\geq 70\%$ to $< 90\%$. These include standardisation of columns, beams, doors and windows, as well as the use of multi-tier precast columns, precast or pre-assembled/metal staircases, precast meter chambers, prefabricated MEP risers and floor with no screeding.
- (b) “Door leaf openings (width)” has been reworded to “Door structural openings” and the module requirement has been revised to include both the height and width of the door structural openings.
- (c) “Precast refuse chutes” has been removed.
- (d) “Precast service risers” has been reworded to “Prefabricated MEP risers”.
- (e) “Single floor level without drops/kerbs within apartment unit” has been added as a buildable design feature.
- (f) More bonus points have been awarded for the use of prefabricated bathroom/toilet units.

- (g) Bonus points awarded for the use of precast household shelters have been lowered.
- (h) Bonus points have been awarded for the use of external precast wall with cast-in windows.
- (i) A new floor height of 3.6m has been added as one of the industry-wide standardised floor heights.
- (j) Bonus points have been awarded for the use of industry-wide standardised floor heights with standard precast staircase sizes for percentage of coverage of $\geq 65\%$ to $< 80\%$.
- (k) Bonus points have been awarded for the use of industry-wide standardised door openings, precast refuse chutes, precast household shelters and prefabricated bathroom/toilet units.
- (l) Bonus points have been awarded for Dry Construction. These include the use of drywall for partywall and wet areas, engineered timber flooring, carpet, vinyl and raised floor.
- (m) Bonus points have been awarded for Mechanical, Electrical and plumbing (MEP) systems such as prefabricated and pre-insulated air-conditioning duct, flexible sprinkler dropper, flexible water pipe and common M&E tray.
- (n) The use of transfer beams has been given demerit points under this section.
- (o) Demerit points for inclined columns have been introduced.

2 HOW TO USE THE BUILDABLE DESIGN APPRAISAL SYSTEM (BDAS)

2.1 Components of the Appraisal System

The BDAS provides a method to compute the Buildable Design Score of a design. It consists of three main parts:

- (a) the Structural System;
- (b) the Wall System; and
- (c) Other Buildable Design Features.

Buildable Design Score of the Structural System

A designer could use different structural systems for different parts of the building so as to achieve the best practical design. The Buildable Design Score for a particular structural system is the product of the percentage area covered by the structural system and its corresponding labour saving index available in Table 1. For the use of prefabricated reinforcement, the Buildable Design Score is the product of the percentage of coverage of use and the corresponding labour saving index as shown in Table 1. The Buildable Design Scores for the different structural systems adopted and the use of prefabricated reinforcement are then summed up and multiplied by the weight factor to arrive at the Buildable Design Score of the various structural systems and prefabricated reinforcement. The Buildable Design Score achievable for structural systems and prefabricated reinforcement is 45 points.

In this section, bonus points are also given for the use of recommended precast joints from specified publications with the aim to improve the quality of precast design which will facilitate ease of precast production and installation. Other items that are recognized with bonus points include the use of mechanical connections for precast joints, high strength concrete, self-compacting concrete and diaphragm wall.

The maximum Buildable Design Score achievable under this section on structural systems including the bonus points given is 50 points.

Buildable Design Score of the Wall System

The Buildable Design Score for a particular wall system is computed by multiplying the percentage wall length covered by the wall system and its corresponding labour saving index available in Table 2. The Buildable Design Scores for the different wall systems adopted are then summed up and multiplied by the weight factor to arrive at the Buildable Design Score of the various wall systems.

In this section, bonus points are also given for the use of off-form cast in-situ and precast concrete external walls and columns.

In addition, to balance aesthetics and buildability for designs with complex forms such as buildings that are designed with high voids, tilts, bends and twists, up to 5 direct points are awarded to designs that are simple to construct.

The maximum Buildable Design Score achievable for wall systems is 45 points.

Buildable Design Score of Other Buildable Design Features

In this section, the buildability of the design is examined at the detailed level. Three basic design characteristics, namely standardisation of columns, beams, windows and doors, grids and other buildable design features such as the usage of prefabricated/precast components and floor without screeding or drops/kerbs are considered. The use of these buildable design features will be awarded with points directly. The maximum Buildable Design Score that can be achieved in this section is 10 points.

In addition, bonus points are given for the use of single integrated components such as prefabricated bathroom/toilet units, precast household shelters and external precast walls with cast-in windows. The use of industry-wide standardised floor heights with standard precast staircases, industry standard door structural openings, precast refuse chutes, precast household shelters and prefabricated bathroom units are also given bonus points to encourage greater standardisation. On top of these, designs that adopt dry construction such as the use of drywall for party wall and wet areas, as well as labour-saving mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) systems such as flexible sprinkler dropper are also recognised with bonus points. The maximum bonus points that can be achieved here is 20 points.

Overall, the maximum Buildable Design Score achievable under the 3 main parts of Structural System, Wall System and Other Buildable Design Features is 125 points. However, the Buildable Design Score of any design is capped at 100 points.

2.2 Computation of Buildable Design Score

The Buildable Design Score formula is expressed as:

Buildable Design (BD) Score of Building	=	Buildable Design Score of Structural System (including Roof System) + Buildable Design Score of Wall System + Buildable Design Score of Other Buildable Design Features
BDScore	=	$45[\sum(A_s \times S_s)]$ + Structural Bonus points + $40[\sum(L_w \times S_w)]$ + C + N + Bonus points
where A_s	=	A_{sa} / A_{st}
L_w	=	L_{wa} / L_{wt}
A_s	=	Percentage of total floor area using a particular structural system
A_{st}	=	Total floor area which includes roof (projected area) and basement area
A_{sa}	=	Floor area using a particular structural system
L_w	=	Percentage of total external & internal wall length using a particular wall system
L_{wt}	=	Total external & internal wall length, excluding the length of external basement wall for earth retaining purpose.
L_{wa}	=	External & internal wall length using a particular wall system
S_s	=	Labour saving index for structural system (Table 1)
Structural bonus points	=	Bonus points for the use of recommended precast joints, mechanical connections for precast joints, high strength concrete, self-compacting concrete and diaphragm wall (Table 1)
S_w	=	Labour saving index for external & internal wall system (Table 2)
C	=	Buildable Design Score for simple designs (Table 2)
N	=	Buildable Design Score for other buildable design features (Table 3)
Bonus points	=	Bonus points for the use of single integrated components, industry standard building components/design parameters, dry construction and labour-saving MEP systems (Table 3)

The Buildable Design Score of a project which consists of more than one building should be computed by multiplying the respective Buildable Design Score of the individual building with its percentage of the total floor area of that building in the project. That is,

$$\text{BDScore project} = \text{Sum of } [\text{BDScore building} \times (A_{st})_{\text{building}} / (A_{st})_{\text{project}}]$$

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE FORMULA

(a) Buildable Design Score of Structural System

The score for the structural system is based on the following:

Method for computation: $45[\sum(A_s \times S_s)] + \text{Structural Bonus Points}$

A_s : The extent to which a particular structural system or prefabricated reinforcement is used. This is expressed as a percentage of the total floor area of the building in the case of structural system and the extent of coverage of use in each cast in-situ component in the case of prefabricated reinforcement.

S_s : A labour saving index for the particular structural system and prefabricated reinforcement. The labour saving indices for the various structural systems and prefabricated reinforcement are given in Table 1.

Structural bonus points : Various labour-saving structural design considerations are given bonus points as shown in Table 1. The maximum bonus points given is capped at 5 points.

All structural systems used must be accounted for. If a combination of systems is used, then the contribution of each system is computed and summed up to arrive at the score.

The total floor area is the total floor area constructed in the project, and includes roof (projected area) and basement area.

The maximum Buildable Design Score for the structural system inclusive of structural bonus points is 50 points

(b) Buildable Design Score of Wall System

The score for the wall system is based on:

Method for computation : $40[\sum(L_w \times S_w)] + C$

L_w : The extent to which a particular external or internal wall system is used. This is expressed as a percentage of the total wall length of the building.

S_w : A labour saving index for the particular external or internal wall system. The labour saving indices for the various wall systems are given in Table 2.

C : Direct points given to simple building designs without high voids and complex design forms. Depending on the design simplicity, different points are given up to a maximum of 5 points as shown in Table 2.

All wall systems must be accounted for. If a combination of systems is used, then the contribution of each system is computed and summed up to arrive at the score.

The total wall length includes all external and internal walls but exclude external basement wall for earth retaining purpose.

The maximum Buildable Design Score for wall system is 45 points.

(c) Buildable Design Score of Other Buildable Design Features, N value

This section covers other design considerations that contribute to labour saving on site. Points are given for each labour saving method adopted and these are summed up to give the score, up to a maximum of 10 points. The points of various design considerations are given in Table 3.

(d) Buildable Design Score of Single Integrated Components, Industry standard building components/design parameters, Dry construction and Labour-saving MEP systems, Bonus points

Bonus points are given for the use of single integrated components such as prefabricated bathroom/toilet units, precast household shelters and external precast walls with cast-in windows, as well as industry-wide standardised building components and design parameters such as floor heights with standard precast staircases, door structural openings etc. In addition, designs that adopt dry construction such as the use of drywall for party wall and wet areas, and labour-saving MEP systems such as flexible sprinkler dropper are also recognised with bonus points. These bonus points are summed up to give the score, up to a maximum of 20 points. The points for these components are given in Table 3.

Table 1 Structural Systems – S_s Value

STRUCTURAL SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION	LABOUR SAVING INDEX S _s
Precast Concrete System	Full precast	1.00
	Precast column/wall ⁽²⁾ with flat plate and perimeter beams (beam depth ≤ 600 mm)	0.90
	Precast column/wall ⁽²⁾ with flat plate and perimeter beams (beam depth > 600 mm)	0.80
	Precast column/wall ⁽²⁾ with flat slab and perimeter beams (beam depth ≤ 600 mm)	0.85
	Precast column/wall ⁽²⁾ with flat slab and perimeter beams (beam depth > 600 mm)	0.75
	Precast beam and precast slab	0.90
	Precast beam and precast column/wall ⁽²⁾	0.90
	Precast column/wall ⁽²⁾ and precast slab	0.90
	Precast slab only	0.70
	Precast column/wall only ⁽²⁾	0.70
Structural Steel System (applicable only if steel decking or precast slab is adopted) ⁽¹⁾	Steel beam and steel column (without concrete encasement)	1.00
	Steel beam and steel column (with concrete encasement)	0.95
Cast In-situ System	Flat plate with perimeter beams (beam depth ≤ 600 mm)	0.85
	Flat plate with perimeter beams (beam depth > 600 mm)	0.75
	Flat slab with perimeter beams (beam depth ≤ 600 mm)	0.80
	Flat slab with perimeter beams (beam depth > 600 mm)	0.70
	One-directional beam	0.70
	Two-directional beam	0.45
Roof System (non-RC)	Integrated metal roof on steel truss	1.00
	Metal roof on steel truss or timber truss	0.95
	Tiled roof on steel beam or precast concrete beam or timber beam	0.75
	Metal roof on cast in-situ beam	0.60
	Tiled roof with cast in-situ beam	0.55

NOTE:

- ⁽¹⁾ At least 80% of the steel reinforcement for composite slab must be welded mesh.
- ⁽²⁾ Precast wall refers to load-bearing walls only.
- * An index of 0.05 each would be given if prefabricated reinforcement/cage/continuous stirrup is used in cast in-situ slab, wall, beam and column.
- * Indices for other systems not shown in this table shall be determined by BCA on a case-by-case basis. For such cases, the QPs are advised to seek BCA's comments before proceeding with the designs.

Table 1 Structural Systems (continued)**Bonus Points**

DESCRIPTION	DIRECT POINTS
Recommended precast joint types ($\geq 65\%$) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	3.00
Mechanical connection for precast column joints ($\geq 65\%$) ⁽⁵⁾	0.50
Mechanical connection for precast beam joints ($\geq 65\%$) ⁽⁵⁾	0.50
Mechanical connection for vertical precast wall joints ($\geq 65\%$) ⁽⁵⁾	2.00
High strength concrete (\geq Grade 70, at least 5%) ⁽⁶⁾	1.00
Self-compacting concrete ($\geq 30\%$) ⁽⁷⁾	2.00
Diaphragm wall ($\geq 65\%$ length of basement permanent retaining wall) ⁽⁸⁾	2.00

NOTE:

- ⁽³⁾ Recommended precast joint types refer to those found in the following guides:
- (i) BCA's Buildable Solutions for High-Rise Residential Development
 - (ii) BCA's Structural Precast Concrete Handbook
 - (iii) BCA's Buildable Solutions for Landed Residential Development in Singapore
 - (iv) HDB's Precast Pictorial Guide
- ⁽⁴⁾ At least 65% of the precast joint types used in a project must come from any of the publications mentioned in ⁽³⁾.
- ⁽⁵⁾ At least 65% of the precast component must use mechanical connection.
- ⁽⁶⁾ High strength concrete of at least Grade 70 must be used for at least 5% of the total concrete volume.
- ⁽⁷⁾ Self-compacting concrete must be used for at least 30% of the total concrete volume.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Diaphragm wall must be adopted for at least 65% of the permanent retaining wall at basement.

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 1

- (a) Table 1 has been arranged into 4 main systems of precast concrete system, structural steel system, cast in-situ system and roof system with their respective labour saving indices. In the event when a structural system used for a project is not stated in Table 1, the labour saving index shall be decided by BCA.
- (b) For precast concrete system, the labour saving indices are given according to the combinations of precast components (slab, column/wall and beam) used.
- (c) Flat plate refers to a slab design which does not have column heads or drop panels. Under BDAS, a flat plate system could be viewed as a floor with flat soffit (with the exception of perimeter beams). From the buildability point of view, such floor with flat soffit would ease formwork construction and reinforcement work at site considerably and helps to improve site productivity.
- (d) The index for concrete roof depends on the type of structural system used and follows the respective index given under cast In-situ system or precast concrete system.
- (e) The integrated metal roof refers to prefabricated roofing system complete with insulation and can be installed as an entire roof section.
- (f) Additional points in the form of labour saving index of 0.05 each would be awarded for the use of prefabricated reinforcement/cages in cast in-situ floor, beam and column.

The use of prefabricated reinforcement/cages must be indicated on plans.

The percentage of coverage for the use of prefabricated reinforcement/cages is to be based on the total floor area or on the total number of columns or total number of beams.

Example of prefabricated reinforcement:

Area of precast floor = 3000 m²

Area of cast in-situ floor using prefabricated reinforcement (mesh) = 7,000 m²

Total floor area including roof area = 10, 000 m²

Percentage of coverage = area of cast in-situ floor using mesh/total floor area
= 7000/10000
= 70%

Therefore, points awarded = 0.05 x 0.70 x 45
= 1.58

- (g) The maximum bonus points achievable under Table 1 is capped at 5 points.

Table 2 Wall Systems – S_w Value

WALL SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION	LABOUR SAVING INDEX S _w
Curtain wall / full height glass partition / dry partition wall ⁽²⁾ / prefabricated railing	Curtain wall / Full height glass partition	1.00
	Prefabricated railing	1.00
	Dry partition wall	1.00
	Dry Partition wall with tile / stone finishes	0.90
Precast Concrete Wall ⁽³⁾	Precast concrete wall with skim coat	0.90 ⁽¹⁾
	Precast concrete wall with plastering, tile / stone finishes	0.60
Lightweight Concrete Panel ⁽⁴⁾	Lightweight concrete panel with skim coat	0.85 ⁽¹⁾
	Lightweight concrete panel with plastering, tile / stone finishes	0.55
Cast In-situ RC Wall	Cast in-situ RC wall with skim coat	0.80 ⁽¹⁾
	Cast in-situ RC wall with plastering, tile / stone finishes	0.50
Precision Blockwall	Precision blockwall with skim coat	0.40 ⁽¹⁾
	Precision blockwall with plastering, tile / stone finishes	0.10
Brickwall / Blockwall	Brickwall / blockwall with or without plastering	0.05

Bonus Points

DESCRIPTION	LABOUR SAVING INDEX S _s
Off-form cast in-situ concrete external walls and columns ⁽⁵⁾	0.15
Off-form precast concrete external walls and columns	0.10

NOTE:

- ⁽¹⁾ These indices also apply to the respective walls with no finishes or finishes done off-site.
- ⁽²⁾ Dry partition walls include sandwich panel wall systems, stud and sheet partition wall systems, demountable wall systems.
- ⁽³⁾ Precast concrete walls refer to precast walls that are generally non-proprietary and manufactured to customise to a specific project.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Lightweight concrete panels include autoclaved lightweight concrete (ALC) panels, autoclaved aerated concrete (AAC) panels.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Off-form cast in-situ concrete and off-form precast concrete external walls and columns without the need for additional labour-intensive surface treatment are given additional LSI over and above the LSI given for cast in-situ RC wall with skim coat and precast concrete wall with skim coat.
- * Indices for other systems not shown in this table shall be determined by BCA on a case-by-case basis.

Direct Points for Simple Design

DESCRIPTION	Direct Points
Design with / without high voids	See Table 2A
Design with / without complex form	See Table 2B

Table 2A: Direct Points for designs with / without high voids

Case	Percentage of high void = <u>Total void height (only for heights > 9m)</u> Total building height (m)	Points
1	0% (no high voids)	2.0
2	0% < % of high void < 10%	1.5
3	10% ≤ % of high void < 15%	1.0
4	15% ≤ % of high void < 20%	0.5
5	% of high void ≥ 20%	0

NOTE:

- * High voids refer to heights that are more than 9m.
- * A design that does not have any void height greater than 9m throughout its building height will get a maximum of 2 points.

Table 2B: Direct Points for designs with complex form

Scenario	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum Offset	Nil	0 m to < 1 m	1 m to < 2 m	2 m to < 3 m	3 m to < 4 m	≥ 4 m
% of Offset Floors	Nil	< 5%	5% to < 15%	15% to < 25%	25% to < 35%	≥ 35%
Height of building						
0 m < 15 m	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.50	0.00
15 m < 45 m	3.00	3.00	2.50	1.50	1.00	0.00
45 m < 90 m	3.00	2.50	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.00
90 m < 135 m	3.00	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
≥ 135 m	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

NOTE:

- * Complex forms refer to building façades that are tilted, tapered, twisted or of free form.
- * A design that does not have complex form will get a maximum of 3 points.

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 2

- (a) Table 2 has been arranged into the various wall systems with their respective labour saving indices. In the event when a wall system used for a project is not stated in Table 2, the labour saving index shall be decided by BCA.
- (b) The labour saving index for full height windows and doors is 1.00.
- (c) Dry partitions refer to panels that do not require the use of water for erection. Examples are solid composite gypsum boards, cementitious panels or glass panels etc. Precision blocks refer to lightweight concrete blocks that have precise dimensions (± 1 mm dimensional tolerance) and can be laid on thin bed adhesive mortar.
- (d) Bonus points in the form of additional labour saving index of 0.15 and 0.10 would be awarded for the use of off-form cast in-situ RC external walls/columns and off-form precast concrete external walls/columns respectively which do not require further concrete treatment. The LSI of 0.15 or 0.10 is given over and above the LSI given for cast in-situ RC wall and precast wall with skim coat respectively.

Example of off-form cast in-situ concrete external walls:

Total length of external cast in-situ (CIS) wall with skim coat = 3,000 m

Assume part of this wall length is with off-form finish = 500 m

Total wall length of the building = 15,000 m

Buildable design score of CIS wall with skim coat = $40 \times (3000/15000) \times 0.80$
= 6.40 points

Bonus points for CIS wall with off-form finish = $40 \times (500/15000) \times 0.15$
= 0.20 points

Therefore, total points awarded for CIS wall = $6.40 + 0.20$
= 6.60

- (e) For designs that have high voids, the percentage of the high void is calculated by dividing the sum of all heights greater than 9m by the total building height. Depending on the percentage computed, direct points are given accordingly as shown in Table 2A.
- (f) The following considerations are taken into account for designs with complex form :
- maximum offset of the building's superstructure floor plates measured against that of the ground floor plate;
 - percentage of total number of floors with offsets measured against the total number of floors of the building; and
 - overall height of the building

Depending on the building height, direct points are awarded based on the lower of the points given for maximum offset and percentage of offset floors as shown in Table 2B.

Table 3 Other Buildable Design Features – N Value

BUILDABLE FEATURES		MODULE	UNIT OF COVERAGE	N VALUE	
				PERCENTAGE OF COVERAGE ⁽⁴⁾	
				≥70% TO < 90%	≥ 90%
1. Standardisation					
1.1	Columns (3 most common sizes)	0.5M ⁽²⁾	no.	1.50	2.00
1.2	Beams (3 most common sizes)	0.5M ⁽²⁾	no.	1.50	2.00
1.3	Door structural openings (width) (3 most common sizes)	0.5M	no.	0.75	1.00
1.4	Windows (3 most common sizes) ⁽¹⁾	1M/1M ⁽³⁾	no.	0.75	1.00
2. Grids					
2.1(a)	Repetition of floor-to-floor height (For blocks more than 6 storey) <i>The repetition may omit bottom floor, top floor and above.</i>	0.5M	no.	1.50	2.00
2.1(b)	Repetition of floor-to-floor height (For blocks up to 6 storey) <i>The repetition may omit bottom floor, top floor and above. Only applicable if there are at least 2 floor heights remaining after the floor omission.</i>	0.5M	no.	0.75	1.00
2.2(a)	Vertical repetition of structural floor layout (For blocks more than 6 storey) <i>The repetition may omit bottom floor, top floor and above.</i>		area	1.50	2.00
2.2(b)	Vertical repetition of structural floor layout (For blocks up to 6 storey) <i>The repetition may omit bottom floor, top floor and above. Only applicable if there are at least 2 floors remaining after the floor omission.</i>		area	0.75	1.00
2.3	Repetition of horizontal grids	6M	no.	1.50	2.00
3. Others					
3.1	Multi-tier precast columns		no.	1.00	2.00
3.2	Precast or pre-assembled/ metal staircases		no.	1.00	2.00
3.3	Precast meter chambers <i>(for landed residential developments)</i>		no.	0.50	1.00
3.4	Prefabricated MEP risers		no.	0.50	1.00
3.5	No screeding for any flooring		area	1.50	3.00
3.6	Single floor level without drops/kerbs within apartment unit <i>(e.g. at kitchen, toilets)</i>		no.	1.00	2.00

NOTE:

- (1) Sizes based on dimensions of frames.
- (2) The module of 0.5M does not apply to steel columns and beams.
- (3) 1M for width and 1M for height (1M = 100 mm).
- (4) Percentage of coverage is to be based on total floor area or on total number of components such as columns, beams, doors, windows etc.

A. Bonus Points		MODULE	UNIT OF COVERAGE	PERCENTAGE OF COVERAGE ⁽⁴⁾	
				≥65% TO < 80%	≥ 80%
Single Integrated Components					
A.1	Prefabricated bathroom/toilet units complete with piping/wiring		no.	5.00	6.00
A.2	Precast household shelter		no.	1.00	2.00
A.3	Precast external wall with cast-in windows		no.	1.00	2.00
Industry Standardisation					
A.4	Typical storeys standardised to either 2.8m, 3.15m, 3.5m, 4.2m or 4.55m height and with precast staircase of riser height of 175mm & tread width of 250mm or 275mm		no.	1.00	2.00
A.5	Typical storeys standardised to either 3.15m, 3.6m or 4.2m height and with precast staircase of riser height of 150mm & tread width of 300mm		no.	1.00	2.00
A.6	Standard door structural openings (3 most common sizes) (see Table 3A)		no.	0.50	1.50
A.7	Standard precast refuse chutes (see Table 3B)		no.	0.50	1.00
A.8	Standard precast household shelters (3 most common sizes) (see Table 3C)		no.	1.00	2.00
A.9	Standard prefabricated bathroom/toilet units complete with piping/wiring (3 most common sizes) (see Table 3D)		no.	1.00	2.00
Dry Construction					
A.10	Drywall for party wall (applicable to residential projects only)		no.	2.00	4.00
A.11	Drywall for wet areas (kitchens and toilets) (applicable to residential projects only)		no.	1.50	3.00
A.12	Engineered timber flooring (applicable to residential projects only)		no.	1.00	2.00
A.13	Carpet, vinyl and raised floor		area	1.00	2.00
Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing (MEP) Systems⁵					
A.14	Prefabricated and pre-insulated duct for air-conditioning system ⁵ (for central air conditioning system only)		area	2.00	4.00
A.15	Flexible sprinkler dropper		no.	1.00	2.00
A.16	Flexible water pipes		no.	0.50	1.00
A.17	Common M&E tray (at least 3 M&E services)		length	1.00	2.00

NOTE:

⁽⁵⁾ Where bonus points for MEP are awarded, the Qualified Persons shall ensure that the relevant MEP systems are adopted.

⁽⁶⁾ The percentage of coverage is based on the area of the material used for the duct.

* Points for other buildable design features not shown in this table shall be determined by BCA on a case-by-case basis.

B. Demerit Points		MODULE	UNIT OF COVERAGE	PERCENTAGE OF COVERAGE	POINT DEDUCTION
B.1	Non-functional void on slab ⁷				-1.00
B.2	Cast in-situ floor with transfer beam ⁸		no.	< 30%	-1.00
				≥30% to <60%	-1.50
				≥60%	-2.00
B.3	Cast in-situ floor with cantilever transfer beam ⁸		no.	< 30%	-2.00
				≥30% to <60%	-2.50
				≥60%	-3.00
B.4	Inclined columns ⁹		no.	< 30%	-1.00
				≥30%	-1.50

NOTE:

(7) This refers to void on slab that does not serve any functional requirement and is enclosed by walls. The 1.00 point deduction applies even if there is only one such void within a block.

(8) For cast in-situ floor with cast in-situ transfer beam/cantilever transfer beam, the number of points to be deducted depends on the percentage of coverage of columns that are transferred on that floor. Point deduction applies to every floor with transfer beam/cantilever transfer beam according to the percentage of coverage.

This requirement does not apply to cast in-situ floor with transfer beam designed for ramp access.

(9) The number of points to be deducted depends on the percentage of coverage of columns that are inclined on that floor. Point deduction applies to every floor with inclined columns according to the percentage of coverage.

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 3

- (a) Table 3 Items 1, 2 and 3 show the points given to each buildable design feature that contributes to labour saving on site. Points are summed up to form the Buildable Design Score for the buildable design features in these sections. The maximum score for buildable design features shown in Sections 1, 2 and 3 is 10 points.
- (b) Bonus points are also given to single integrated components, industry standardisation of building components/design parameters, dry construction and MEP system as shown in Item A. The maximum bonus points given under this Item is capped at 20 points.
- (c) For Item 1 – Standardisation, the criteria of minimum module must be met before points are given. M denotes 100mm. 0.5M implies that sizes must be in multiples of 50mm. 1M implies that sizes must be in multiples of 100mm.
- (d) For Item 2 – Grids, the criteria of minimum module must be met before points are given. M denotes 100mm. For repetition of floor to floor height, 0.5M implies that the floor-to-floor height must be in multiples of 50mm. For repetition of horizontal grids, 6M implies that spacing between grids must be in multiples of 600mm
- (e) The unit of measurement for each type of design feature is in number or area. This is specified in the column entitled “Unit of Coverage.”
- (f) The percentage of coverage of each type of design feature is generally classified into 2 categories:
 - (i) $\geq 70\%$ to $< 90\%$
 - (ii) $\geq 90\%$In the case of design features awarded with bonus points, the percentage of coverage is classified into the following categories:
 - (i) $\geq 65\%$ to $< 80\%$
 - (ii) $\geq 80\%$
- (g) Demerit points are given to designs that have voids on slabs, transfer beams and inclined columns as shown in Item B. The maximum demerit points deducted under this Item is capped at 5 points.
- (h) BCA shall determine the points to be awarded or not to be awarded for other buildable features that are not stated in Table 3. For such cases, the QPs are advised to seek BCA’s comments before proceeding with the designs.

Table 3A: Industry Standard Door Structural Openings

S/No	Door Structural Opening (Width) (mm)
1	900
2	1000
3	1100
4	1200
5	1500
6	2000

NOTE:

Bonus points are awarded to a project only if the above standard door structural opening sizes (up to 3 sizes) are adopted and meeting the required percentage of coverage as shown in Table 3 – Item A.6.

Table 3B: Industry Standard Precast Refuse Chutes

S/No	Outer Dimensions (m)	Inner Dimensions (m)	Chamfer Radius (mm)
1	1.0 x 1.0	0.8 x 0.8	150
2	0.9 x 0.9	0.7 diameter	N.A.
3	0.8 x 0.8	0.5 diameter	N/A.

NOTE:

Bonus points are awarded to a project only if one of the above standard precast refuse chute sizes is adopted and meeting the required percentage of coverage as shown in Table 3 – Item A.7.

Table 3C: Industry Standard Precast Household Shelters

GFA of Dwelling Unit (m ²)	Minimum Inner Floor Area (m ²)	Size (m/m)	Wall Thickness (mm)
45 < GFA ≤ 75	2.2	1.2 x 1.9, 1.3 x 1.7	250 or 300
75 < GFA ≤ 140	2.8	1.2 x 2.4, 1.3 x 2.2	250 or 300
GFA > 140	3.4	1.5 x 2.4, 1.6 x 2.2	250 or 300

NOTE:

Bonus points are awarded to a project only if the above sizes of standard precast household shelter (up to 3 sizes) are adopted and meeting the required percentage of coverage as shown in Table 3 – Item A.8.

Table 3D: Industry Standard Prefabricated Bathroom Units

Internal Dimensions (m)		
Master Bath	Common Bath	Maid / Yard Bath
1.8 x 2.6	1.5 x 2.4	0.8 x 1.5
2.0 x 2.4	1.6 x 2.4	1.2 x 1.5
2.0 x 2.6	1.9 x 1.9	1.2 x 1.6
2.0 x 3.4	2.0 x 2.0	1.2 x 2.2
2.2 x 2.8		

NOTE:

1. The sizes are based on internal dimensions, i.e. exclude the wall thickness of the prefabricated bathroom unit as shown in Figure 1 below.
2. Bonus points are awarded to a project only if
 - i. the above sizes of standard prefabricated bathroom units (up to 3 sizes) are adopted and meeting the required percentage of coverage as shown in Table 3 – Item A.9, and
 - ii. the location of the services shaft is accessible to facilitate future repair and maintenance work of the prefabricated bathroom units. Figure 2 below gives an example of a layout of a prefabricated bathroom unit with a services shaft that is accessible.




Figure 1 – Plan of a prefabricated bathroom unit and its internal dimensions




Figure 2 – Example of a layout of a prefabricated bathroom unit with a services shaft that is accessible for future repairs and maintenance

3 EXAMPLES ON COMPUTING BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE

3.1 A SINGLE BLOCK BUILDING PROJECT

A. Project Information

- 1 block of 18-storey high residential flat
- No basement
- 5 residential units per storey
- For simplicity, assume typical floor layout for each floor, except 1st storey and roof and there are no high voids and complex form
- Assume floor-to-floor height of 3.15m, except 1st storey, which is 4m high
- For area of building:

$$\text{Total floor area of residential units} = 18 \times 645.90\text{m}^2 = 11,626.20\text{m}^2$$


$$\text{Total floor area of Lift Lobby} = 18 \times 102.40\text{m}^2 = 1,843.20\text{m}^2$$

$$\text{Roof area (assume same as typical floor)} = \underline{748.30\text{m}^2}$$

$$A_{st} : \text{Total floor area of building including roof area} = \underline{14,217.70\text{m}^2}$$

B. Buildable Design Score Formula

$$\text{BDScore} = 45[\Sigma(A_s \times S_s)] + \text{Structural Bonus points} + 40[\Sigma(L_w \times S_w)] + C + N + \text{Bonus Points}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area of residential units per floor} &= 129.18 \times 5 \\ &= 645.90 \text{ m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Lift lobby area per floor} = 102.40 \text{ m}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Typical floor area} &= 645.90 + 102.40 \\ &= 748.30 \text{ m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Design based on flat plate system with cast in-situ columns with wall combination of precast walls and dry wall.

DESCRIPTION	LABOUR SAVING INDEX	AREA(m ²) or LENGTH(m)	COVERAGE (%)	BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
Structural System				
(1) Flat plate with perimeter beams (beam depth ≤ 600 mm) for apartment area + Roof $A_{sa} = 19 \times 645.90 = 12,272.10\text{m}^2$ $A_{st} = 14,217.70\text{m}^2$	$S_S = 0.85$	12,272.10m ²	86.32%	33.02
(2) RC beam/slab for lift lobby area + Roof $A_{sa} = 19 \times 102.40 = 1,945.60\text{m}^2$ $A_{st} = 14,217.70\text{m}^2$	$S_S = 0.45$	1,945.60m ²	13.68%	2.77
Total		14,217.70m ²	100.00%	35.79
Use of prefabricated reinforcement				
Welded mesh for cast in-situ floor slab 86% of total floor area	0.05		86.00%	1.94
Total (a)				37.73
Wall System				
(1) Full height glass and railing	$S_w = 1.00$	408.60 m	3.86%	1.55
(2) Curtain wall	$S_w = 1.00$	717.30 m	6.78%	2.71
(3) Precast concrete wall - skim coat and paint finish	$S_w = 0.90$	5,204.20 m	49.22%	17.72
(4) Cast in-situ RC wall (staircase and lift shaft) - plaster & paint finish	$S_w = 0.50$	885.00 m	8.37%	1.67
(5) Drywall	$S_w = 1.00$	1,963.90 m	18.58%	7.43
(6) Drywall - tiled finish	$S_w = 0.90$	313.60 m	2.97%	1.07
(7) Precision blocks - skim coat and paint finish	$S_w = 0.40$	1,080.20 m	10.22%	1.64
(8) Simple design - without high voids	Table A	Nil		2.00
- without complex form	Table B	Nil		3.00
Total (b)		10,572.80 m	100.00%	38.79

DESCRIPTION	LABOUR SAVING INDEX	AREA(m ²) or LENGTH(m)	COVERAGE (%)	BUILDABLE DESIGN SCORE
Other Buildable Design Features				
(1) Standardisation of columns (0.5M) 3S at 95%			95%	N = 2.00
(2) Standardisation of door leaf openings (width)(0.5M) 3S at 90%			90%	N = 1.00
(3) Repetition of floor-to-floor height (0.5M) 100%			100%	N = 2.00
(4) Precast staircases 100%			100%	N = 2.00
Total (c)				7.00
Buildable Design Score of Project (a) + (b) + (c)				84

3.2 A MULTI-BLOCK BUILDING PROJECT

A. Project Information

This project consists of 8 blocks of buildings:-

- 3 blocks of 3-storey high workshop (Block A, B & C)
- 2 blocks of 2-storey high workshop (Block D & E)
- 1 block of 2-storey high multi-purpose hall (Block F)
- 1 block of 2-storey high classroom (Block G)
- 1 block of 2-storey high classroom cum administration (Block H)

A_{st} , total floor area including roof (projected area), of each building is as below:

- Block A, B & C $A_{st} = 2,700\text{m}^2$ per building
- Block D $A_{st} = 3,000\text{m}^2$
- Block E $A_{st} = 2,400\text{m}^2$
- Block F $A_{st} = 2,600\text{m}^2$
- Block G $A_{st} = 1,000\text{m}^2$
- Block H $A_{st} = 3,600\text{m}^2$
- Overall project $A_{st} = 20,700\text{m}^2$

B. Buildable Design Score

The Buildable Design Score (BDScore) for the respective blocks is as follows:

- Block A : BDScore = 82.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.13$
- Block B : BDScore = 82.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.13$
- Block C : BDScore = 82.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.13$
- Block D : BDScore = 84.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.14$
- Block E : BDScore = 83.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.12$
- Block F : BDScore = 78.0 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.13$
- Block G : BDScore = 63.2 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.05$
- Block H : BDScore = 67.2 $(A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 0.17$

The Buildable Design Score of the project is computed as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BDScore}_{\text{proj}} &= \text{Sum of } [\text{BDScore}_{\text{bldg}} \times (A_{st})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}}] \\ &= \underline{\underline{78}} \end{aligned}$$

Annex B

CONSTRUCTABILITY APPRAISAL SYSTEM

1 INTRODUCTION

The Constructability Appraisal System (CAS) was developed by the Building and Construction Authority as a means to measure the potential impact of downstream construction methods and technologies on the productivity at site. The CAS results in a 'Constructability Score' of the building works. A project with a higher Constructability Score will result in the use of more labour efficient construction methods and technologies and therefore improve site labour productivity.

1.1 Objective

The objective of CAS is to bring about the wider use of labour-saving construction methods and technologies that can help to reduce the demand for manpower on site.

While the BDAS focuses on the use of buildable designs during the upstream design process, to bring about greater productivity improvements, it is necessary to also tackle improvements in the downstream construction methods. Designers' attention to buildable designs has to be complemented with builders' adoption of labour-efficient construction technologies to bring about greater ease in construction.

The CAS thus impacts on the construction methods used during the construction phase. Through the Constructability Score, the builders' contribution to raising site productivity can be increased by encouraging them to move away from traditionally labour-intensive construction methods and switching to more labour-efficient construction processes.

1.2 Principles of Constructability

The CAS is a performance based system with flexible characteristics that allow builders to adopt the most cost-effective solution to meet the constructability requirement. The cconstructability of building works is assessed in the areas of *structural works, architectural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing (AMEP) works* as well as *site practices*.

As structural works require the greatest manpower usage for building projects, and is usually along the critical path of construction, a switch to a more labour efficient construction system for structural works is likely to bring about a direct improvement in site productivity.

Besides structural works, manpower is also required for architectural works and M&E works. Hence, site productivity gains could be realised if builders were to embrace the greater use of efficient construction methods and technologies that reduce labour usage for these areas of works.

In addition, the adoption of good site practices, such as good project and site management is also critical to enhancing site productivity performance.

1.3 Scope

The CAS therefore aims at productivity improvements in each of the areas of structural works, AMEP works as well as site practices.

Points are awarded based on the types of construction methods, technologies and processes adopted. Innovative construction technologies, methods, systems and use of advanced plant and equipment that are able to reduce manpower usage and improve site productivity are also awarded with points. The points are totalled to give the “Constructability Score” of the building works.

1.4 Rationale on Allocation of Points

1.4.1 The computation of Constructability Score for a project involves the summation of Constructability Score attained for the Structural component, AMEP component and the component on Good Industry Practices. The total Constructability Score allocated under these three components is 120 points

1.4.2 The highest weightage is given to the Structural component, i.e. 50% or 60 points of the total Constructability Score. The Structural component of the Constructability Score focuses on the builder’s choice of external access systems and formwork systems as these take up the bulk of the total manpower needed for structural works.

1.4.3 The other 50% of the Constructability Score is allocated to AMEP and Good Industry Practices, with 50 points given to the AMEP component and the remaining 10 points to the component on good practices. For the AMEP component, points are awarded if builders make a conscious effort to avoid wet works such as screeding and plastering. Builders will also be assessed on their usage of labour efficient pipe works and air-con main ducting such as pre-insulated pipework and prefabricated ducts, as these are labour intensive trades. Similarly, Good Industry Practices focuses on specific good practices such as the use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and productivity monitoring on site to achieve higher productivity.

1.4.4 The allocation of points to the Structural component, the AMEP component and Good Industry Practices component is to bring about a greater adoption of labour efficient advanced construction methods and processes. In some areas, the traditional construction methods are given much lower points under the Constructability Score to dis-incentivise their use.

2 HOW TO USE THE CONSTRUCTABILITY APPRAISAL SYSTEM

2.1 Components of the Constructability Appraisal System (CAS)

The CAS provides a method to compute the Constructability Score of a project. It consists of three main components:

- (a) the Structural System;
- (b) the Architectural, Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing (AMEP) System; and
- (c) Good Industry Practices

The Constructability Score is expressed as:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Constructability} & = & \text{Constructability Score of Structural System} \\ \text{Score of} & + & \text{Constructability Score of AMEP System} \\ \text{Building Works} & + & \text{Constructability Score of Good Industry Practices} \end{array}$$

(a) Constructability Score of the Structural System

A builder could use different external access systems and formwork systems or a combination of each of these systems for different parts of the building so as to achieve the most practical and cost effective approach to meeting the constructability requirement.

The Constructability Score for a particular external access system is the product of the percentage of building perimeter using this external access system and its corresponding allocated points. The Constructability Scores for different external access systems adopted are then summed up to arrive at the Constructability Score of the total external access system. The points allocated to different external access systems are given in Table 1 and the total points achievable under this section are 15 points.

The Constructability Score for a particular formwork system is the product of the percentage of area using the formwork system and its corresponding allocated points. For vertical formwork, the area would be the contact area of the formwork system whereas for horizontal formwork, the area would be the floor area. The Constructability Scores for different formwork systems adopted are then summed up to arrive at the Constructability Score of the total formwork system. All formwork system must be accounted for, except for those used in the basement / sub-structural works. The points allocated to different formwork systems which are classified into various bands are given in Table 1 and the total points achievable under this section are 30 points.

Constructability points are also given to structural innovative methods, systems, processes and plant and equipment that contribute to labour saving on site. Some specific items under this section are given in Table 1. Direct points are awarded if

the usage of these items meets the stipulated criteria and conditions. Points could also be awarded to other innovative construction technologies and methods which reduce labour usage, subject to BCA's assessment. The total points achievable under this section are 15 points.

The contribution of Constructability Scores from the total external access system, the total formwork system and innovation items are summed up to arrive at the Constructability Score of the Structural System. The maximum Constructability Score for Structural System is 60 points.

(b) Constructability Score of AMEP System

Under the architectural portion, Constructability Scores for the use of screed-less floor and unplastered RC / blockwall are given only if these are specifications not stipulated in the tender drawings. For these two items, the Constructability Scores are computed by multiplying the percentage of floor area with no screeding or the percentage of wall length with no plastering works and their corresponding allocated points.

For other AMEP items namely spray painting, pre-insulated pipework, prefabricated ducts, flexible water pipes and mechanical joints, the use of these items will be awarded with points directly if the corresponding stipulated conditions are met. The points allocated to the various AMP systems are given in Table 2 and the total points achievable under this section are 25 points.

Constructability points are also given to AMEP innovative methods, systems, processes and plant and equipment that contribute to labour saving on site. Direct points are awarded if the usage of these items meets the stipulated criteria and conditions. The total points achievable under this section are 25 points.

The points awarded for all the items under the AMEP component are summed up to arrive at the Constructability Score of the AMEP System. The maximum Constructability Score for AMEP System is 50 points.

(c) Constructability Score of Good Industry Practices

In this section, direct points are awarded to good industry practices adopted at site which help to improve construction productivity. Points are given for each good industry practice adopted and these are summed up to give the score, up to a maximum of 10 points. The points allocated to the various good industry practices are given in Table 3.

Table 1 Structural System

STRUCTURAL SYSTEM (MAXIMUM 60 POINTS)			
Construction Technologies / Methods	Allocated Points	Computation Method	
1. External Access System (Maximum 15 points)			
(a) No external scaffold	15	$\frac{\sum(\text{Length with external access system/no external scaffold} \times \text{Allocated pts})}{\text{Total Building Perimeter}}$	
(b) Self-climbing perimeter scaffold	15		
(c) Crane-lifted perimeter scaffold / fly cage	14		
(d) Traditional external scaffold	1		
2. Formwork System (Maximum 30 points)			
A. Vertical Contact Area			
(i) No formwork (precast construction)	15	$\frac{\sum(\text{Vertical Formwork Contact Area} \times \text{Allocated points})}{\text{Total Vertical Formwork Contact Area}}$	
(ii) Traditional timber/metal formwork	1		
(I) Vertical Formwork¹			
(i) System Formwork (Band 1)	15		
(ii) System Formwork (Band 2)	14		
(iii) System Formwork (Band 3)	13		
(iv) System Formwork (Band 4)	11		
(v) System formwork (Band 5)	8		
B. Floor Area			
(i) No formwork (precast construction)	15		$\frac{\sum(\text{Floor Area} \times \text{Allocated points})}{\text{Total Floor Area}}$
(ii) Traditional timber/metal formwork	1		
(I) Horizontal Formwork¹			
(i) System Formwork (Band 1)	15		
(ii) System Formwork (Band 2)	14		
(iii) System Formwork (Band 3)	13		
(iv) System Formwork (Band 4)	11		
(v) System formwork (Band 5)	8		
3. Structural Innovative Methods, Systems, Processes, Plant & Equipment (Maximum 15 points)			
(a) Use of self compacting concrete	2	Points are given if usage is $\geq 5\%$ of total superstructure concrete volume	
(b) Use of hydraulic stationary placing boom for concreting	2	Points will be given once used	
(c) Use of tower crane (tip load ≥ 10 tonnes at maximum reach)	3	Points will be given once used	
(d) Strut free deep basement construction ²	4 (max)	Applicable for projects with restricted site access. Normal earth slope with or without concrete lining is excluded.	
(e) Any other innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment	Points to be awarded only for high impact items that improve labour efficiency ³		

NOTE:

(1) System formwork are grouped into bands in their respective classification according to their productivity output assessed through productivity demonstration. Each band is allocated different Constructability Points to reflect the relative efficiencies of the different system formwork. System formwork not assessed would be deemed to have the same productivity as those under the lowest band (i.e. Band 5) and be accorded with the lowest Constructability Points for system formwork.

(2) BCA will assess the extent of the strut free basement construction and determine the number of points to be awarded.

(3) BCA will assess the impact of the innovative method, system, process, plant & equipment on labour usage and determine the number of points to be awarded.

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 1

- (a) Table 1 has been arranged into 3 main sections of external access system, formwork system and innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment with their respective point allocation.
- (b) Different system formwork have varying efficiencies in terms of productivity performance. To determine the productivity of each system formwork, BCA will carry out a productivity assessment exercise for each system formwork using a standard layout template. The various system formwork are classified into vertical formwork or horizontal formwork. Depending on the productivity outcome, the different system formwork are then grouped into bands in their respective classification. Each band is allocated different Constructability Points to reflect the relative efficiencies of the different system formwork. In the event that a particular formwork system has not been assessed on its productivity, this system formwork shall be taken to have the same productivity as system formwork classified under Band 5 and be awarded with the lowest Constructability Points.

For ease of reference, BCA will publish the bands and allocated Constructability Points of the various system formwork which have been assessed on their productivity performance on BCA's website. The published data will be updated regularly.

- (c) Some specific items with points allocated have been listed in Table 1 under Structural Innovative Methods, Systems, Processes, Plant & Equipment. For any other innovations proposed by the builder that are not stated in Table 1, BCA shall determine the points to be awarded or not to be awarded. For such cases, the builder is advised to seek BCA's comments.

Table 2 Architectural, Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing System (AMEP)

ARCHITECTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL & PLUMBING SYSTEM (AMEP) (MAXIMUM 50 POINTS)		
Construction Technologies / Methods	Allocated Points	Computation Method
1. Architectural		
(a) No screeding on floors (not stipulated in tender drawing): (i) To immediately receive tile/stone finish using thin bed adhesive (ii) Carpet or raised floor finishing	5	$\frac{\text{Floor Area with no screeding} \times \text{Allocated points}}{\text{Total Area (excluding wet areas}^1)}$
(b) Off form RC walls to receive (not stipulated in tender drawing): (i) Tile/ Stone (ii) Wallpaper (iii) Paint (skim coat allowed)	5	$\frac{\text{RC Wall}^* \text{ Length with no plastering} \times \text{Allocated points}}{\text{Total RC Wall Length}^*}$ <i>* Refers to RC walls with finishing including tile/ stone, wallpaper & paint</i>
(c) Use of spray painting	3	Points are given if usage \geq 50% of total internal painted area
2. Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing (MEP)		
(a) Pipe Works (i) Pre-insulated chilled water pipes	3	Points are given if usage \geq 80% of total pipe length
(b) Air-Con Ducting (i) Prefab ducts OR (ii) Prefab & Pre-insulated ducts	3 6	Points are given if usage \geq 80% of total duct length
(c) Use of flexible pipes ¹ for domestic water system	3	Points are given if usage \geq 80% of total pipe length
(d) Use of mechanical joints for M&E piping	2	Points are given if usage \geq 80% of total pipe length
3. AMEP Innovative Methods, Systems, Processes, Plant & Equipment (Maximum 25 points)		
(a) Use of ceiling inserts	2	Points are given if once used for at least one complete floor
(b) Prefab plant / piping modules	3	Points are given once used for at least one plant room
(c) Use of scissor lift and/or personnel lift in lieu of traditional scaffold for AMEP works	2	Points will be given once used
(d) Use of boom lift in lieu of traditional scaffold for AMEP works	2	Points will be given once used
(e) Any other innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment	Points to be awarded only for high impact items that improve labour efficiency ³	

NOTE:

⁽¹⁾ Wet areas shall include bathroom, kitchen, utility room and balcony areas

⁽²⁾ Flexible pipes include Crosslinked Polyethylene (PEX) pipes

⁽³⁾ BCA will assess the impact of the innovative method, system, process, plant & equipment on labour usage and determine the number of points to be awarded.

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 2

- (a) Table 2 has been arranged into 3 main sections of architectural system, mechanical & electrical and plumbing system and innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment with their respective point allocation.
- (b) Ceiling inserts refer to cast-in brackets or steel sections for supporting piping, conduits, cables and other M&E fittings.
- (c) Prefab plant / piping modules refer to plant, pipes or ducts that are pre-fabricated off-site, assembled and installed in modules.
- (d) Some specific items with points allocated have been listed in Table 2 under AMEP Innovative Methods, Systems, Processes, Plant & Equipment. For any other innovations proposed by the builder that are not stated in Table 2, BCA shall determine the points to be awarded or not to be awarded. For such cases, the builder is advised to seek BCA's comments.

Table 3 Good Industry Practices

GOOD INDUSTRY PRACTICES (MAXIMUM 10 POINTS)	
Description	Allocated Points
<p>(a) To use Building Information Modelling (BIM) for whole project duration to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Check for clashes between M&E services, structural provision and architectural objects (ii) Produce M&E Coordination Drawings, Architectural Shop Drawings and Concrete Body Plan for construction purposes (iii) Simulate construction schedules and resource planning 	5
<p>(b) To adopt a trade productivity monitoring system for whole project duration to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Establish “workers’ productivity norms” (ii) Conduct work studies on the processes if the productivity levels deviate from the norm (iii) Implement measures to improve productivity whenever possible 	2
<p>(c) To produce and distribute step by step work manuals for all trades and set up site mock-ups to show how works should be done properly for whole project duration for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Wall installation (ii) Waterproofing (iii) Suspended ceiling installation (iv) Window installation 	2
<p>(d) To conduct monthly work study sessions, to scrutinise and improve the work process on site, as well as minimising wastage and improve productivity</p>	2
<p>(e) To use tools like CCTV to conduct real time monitoring on site to study resource flow, schedule and work process flow</p>	2
<p>(f) To conduct the following daily:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Tool box meeting (every worker to be informed on his task for the day) (ii) Sub-contractors coordination meeting (to coordinate on work process & resource allocation) 	1

EXPLANATORY NOTES TO TABLE 3

- (a) Points would only be awarded to a builder when any of the good industry practices listed in Table 3 has been adopted the practices throughout the duration of the project.

3 EXAMPLES ON COMPUTING CONSTRUCTABILITY SCORE

3.1 A residential non-landed development with 2 basements and GFA $\geq 25,000 \text{ m}^2$ (development is on land sold under Government Land Sales Programme after 15 Oct 2013)

Construction Technologies / Practices	Computation	Score																								
Structural System (Max 60 Points)																										
1. External Access System (max 15 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Self-climbing scaffold used for entire building perimeter <p>Score = $100\% \times 15 \text{ points} = 15 \text{ points}$</p>	15																								
2. Formwork System (max 30 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vertical formwork contact area (Band 2) is $4,000 \text{ m}^2$ Horizontal formwork floor area (Band 3) is $36,000 \text{ m}^2$ Precast columns were adopted at certain areas, with a total vertical contact area of $2,500 \text{ m}^2$ <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Types of System</th> <th>Contact area/ Floor area (m^2)</th> <th>Working</th> <th>Pts</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Vertical Contact Areas</td> <td>Vertical Formwork (Band 2 – 14 points)</td> <td>4,000</td> <td>$14 \times \frac{4000}{4000+2500}$</td> <td>8.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Precast Columns (15 points)</td> <td>2,500</td> <td>$15 \times \frac{2500}{4000+2500}$</td> <td>5.77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Horizontal Floor Areas</td> <td>Horizontal Formwork (Band 3 – 13 points)</td> <td>36,000</td> <td>$13 \times \frac{36000}{36000}$</td> <td>13.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Score</td> <td>27.39</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Types of System	Contact area/ Floor area (m^2)	Working	Pts	Vertical Contact Areas	Vertical Formwork (Band 2 – 14 points)	4,000	$14 \times \frac{4000}{4000+2500}$	8.62	Precast Columns (15 points)	2,500	$15 \times \frac{2500}{4000+2500}$	5.77	Horizontal Floor Areas	Horizontal Formwork (Band 3 – 13 points)	36,000	$13 \times \frac{36000}{36000}$	13.00	Score				27.39	27.39
	Types of System	Contact area/ Floor area (m^2)	Working	Pts																						
Vertical Contact Areas	Vertical Formwork (Band 2 – 14 points)	4,000	$14 \times \frac{4000}{4000+2500}$	8.62																						
	Precast Columns (15 points)	2,500	$15 \times \frac{2500}{4000+2500}$	5.77																						
Horizontal Floor Areas	Horizontal Formwork (Band 3 – 13 points)	36,000	$13 \times \frac{36000}{36000}$	13.00																						
Score				27.39																						
3. Structural innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment (max 15 points)	- Strut free deep basement construction	4																								
Sub-total for A (max 60 points)		<u>46.39</u> > 40 (min)																								

Construction Technologies / Practices	Computation	Score
Architectural, Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing System (AMEP) (Max 50 Points)		
1. Architectural		
<p>(a) No screeding on floors (not stipulated in tender drawing):</p> <p>(i) to immediately receive tile/stone finish using thin bed adhesive, (ii) carpet or raised floor finishing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Floor area without screed to receive tile finish is 20,000m² Floor area with screed (excluding wet areas) is 8,000m² <p>Total floor area = 20,000 + 8,000 = 28,000m²</p> <p>Score = (20000/28000) x 5 points = 3.57 points</p>	3.57
(b) Use of spray painting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spray painting is used for more than 50% of total internal painted area 	3
2. Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing (MEP)		
(a) Use of flexible pipes for domestic water system	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flexible water pipes were used for more than 80% of total pipe length 	3
3. AMEP innovative methods, systems, processes, plant & equipment (max 25 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of scissor lift in lieu of traditional scaffold for MEP installation 	2
Sub-total for B (max 50 points)		<u>11.57</u>
C. Good Industry Practices (Max 10 points)		
To adopt a trade productivity monitoring system for whole project duration to:		2
(i) Establish "workers' productivity norms"		
(ii) Conduct work studies on the processes if the productivity levels deviate from the norm		
(iii) Implement measures to improve productivity whenever possible		
To produce and distribute step by step work manuals for all trades and set up site mock-ups to show how works should be done properly for whole project duration for:		2
(i) Wall installation		
(ii) Waterproofing		
(iii) Suspended ceiling installation		
(iv) Window installation		
To conduct monthly work study sessions, to scrutinise and improve the work process on site, as well as minimising wastage and improve productivity		2
To conduct the following daily:		1
(i) Tool box meeting (every worker to be informed on his task for the day)		
(ii) Sub-contractors coordination meeting (to coordinate on work process & resource allocation)		
Sub-total for C (max 10 points)		<u>7</u>
Total Constructability Score = Sub-total (A) + Sub-total (B) + Sub-total (C)		<u>65</u> <u>> 55 (min)</u>

3.2 A MULTI-BLOCK BUILDING PROJECT

A. Project Information

This project consists of 3 blocks of buildings:-

- 1 block of 30-storey high office building (Block A)
- 1 block of 40-storey high residential building (Block B)
- 1 block of 45-storey high residential building (Block C)

A_{fw} , total formwork system floor area, is as below:

- Block A $A_{fw} = 20,000\text{m}^2$
- Block B $A_{fw} = 25,000\text{m}^2$
- Block C $A_{fw} = 35,000\text{m}^2$
- Overall project $A_{fw} = 80,000\text{m}^2$

B. Constructability Score

The Constructability Score (CScore) for the respective blocks is as follows:

- Block A : CScore = 65 $(A_{fw})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 16.25$
- Block B : CScore = 60 $(A_{fw})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 18.75$
- Block C : CScore = 64 $(A_{fw})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{st})_{\text{proj}} = 28.00$

The Constructability Score of the project is computed as below:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CScore}_{\text{proj}} &= \text{Sum of } [\text{CScore}_{\text{bldg}} \times (A_{fw})_{\text{bldg}} / (A_{fw})_{\text{proj}}] \\ &= \mathbf{63}\end{aligned}$$